

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/801,704	KATAOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marianne L. Padgett	1762

All Participants:

Status of Application: New

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(3) _____

(2) Daimon Vadnais.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 14 September 2007

Time: Afternoon

Type of Interview:

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3 & 9

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

On 9/14/2007, agreed to cancel nonelected claim 9 & discussed amending claim 3 to specify when the heat treatment therein takes place, in order for the limitation to be more meaningful, however awaiting input from inventors in Japan.

As of 9/17/2007, the Japanese applicants were not available due to a holiday, and since there is nothing formally incorrect about claim 3, no changes will be made therein at this time..